# **Cardium Formation Hydraulic "Frac" Microseismic: Observations and Conclusions**

John L. J. Duhault, PetroBakken Energy, Calgary, Canada: jduhault@petrobakken.com

# GeoConvention 2012:Vision

#### **Abstract**

In 2010, Petrobakken Energy acquired several companies with resource play potential in the Cardium of Central Alberta. After the first year of encouraging results the company set out to further evaluate these properties in 2011 and drilled an additional 120 wells into the Cardium formation. Multistage hydraulic fracture stimulations ("fracs") from horizontal wellbores were employed to evaluate these properties with varying results. After reviewing several months of production profiles, several questions were being asked. Why were the results so variable? Was it because of the geology, the reservoir pressure, or the frac style, and what technologies should we utilize to maximize our frac efficiencies and well productivity. Microseismic was acquired in eight separate projects to answer some of these questions. As this work is still ongoing at PetroBakken, this paper will present some of the current observations and pose further questions to be answered from additional technical work.

## Introduction and Background

PetroBakken's Cardium lands lie from just north of Cochrane to the "halo" flanks of the East and West Pembina Cardium pools south of Drayton Valley.

The Upper Cretaceous, Turorian, Cardium formation includes repeated, stacked successions of silty mudstone through siltstones to very fine to fine grained sandstones. These units are unconformably overlain by chert-pebble conglomerates which in turn are overlain by marine mudstones.

The thicker, highly permeable conventional reservoirs have been exploited since the 50's. The current focus on the tight oil Cardium play is on the margins of conventional fields where the gross reservoir is up to twelve meters thick and with the net sandstone thickness varies between three to seven meters.

The measured reservoir pressure over the study area varies from a depleted pressure of 7 mPa to virgin pressures of over 22 mPa. The maximum stress direction based on published literature was estimated to be perpendicular to the Rocky Mountains or towards the NE.

PetroBakken monitors it's Frac performance seeking to improve frac efficiencies and so during this study time several frac types were analyzed including, Slick water, Gelled oil, and Foamed water.

#### **Observations**

All of the Microseismic Jobs in this study were acquired using single downhole monitoring wells with the exception of one, which was a dual downhole project. As the study grew, it became obvious that even though micro seismic events were recorded beyond 800 m, the more reliable events were recorded within 525 m from the monitor well.

GeoConvention 2012: Vision

The majority of the these event clouds also trended approximately N45E - S45W from the treatment well no matter what azimuth the treatment was drilled at. In addition to the consistent frac-wing azimuth it was noted that the Frac-wings were not symmetric. A significant portion of the microseismic events were found going to the NE no matter what side the observation well was relative to the treatment well. (Figures 1 and 2)




Figure 1. Illustrating asymmetric Microseismic events trending NE-SW with clear separation between the frac wings or event "clouds". This well was treated with a Gelled oil Frac. The monitoring well is on the NE side of the treatment well.




Figure 2. Illustrates the NE -SW asymmetric character of the event clouds without bias based on the monitor well location. This figure also shows the more complex nature of the slickwater frac versus the more defined frac-wings from the foamed water Frac .Clear distinct event clouds can be observed with some frac lengths exceeding 400 m

Most fracs stayed within 100 m vertically from where the treatment well landed within Cardium sand however it was observed that the odd frac wing would reach 150 - 200 m or more due to higher treatment pressure or encountering a pre-existing fault plane. ( See Figure 3. )

GeoConvention 2012: Vision 3




Figure 3. Illustrates the Microseismic events that show a frac height of 170 m in the Transverse View and the fault interpretation in the Plan View.

### **Conclusions and Next Steps**

Microseismic events from a stimulated Cardium well can be imaged by utilizing down-hole monitor wells if these observation wells are within 525 m of the frac ports..

In the study area it was noted that the frac wings in general trend N45E and that they are asymmetrical. The Frac height typically stayed with 100 m of the placement zone with the exceptions breaking out due to higher treatment pressure or pre-existing fault plans. The Gelled oil and fluid fracs tended to create well defined frac wings whereas the Slickwater fracs tended to a more complex pattern. Frac lengths typically reached out to 200-300 m and sometime 400m to the NE and typically went 60-100 m to the SW.

This paper was built to illustrate several observations about Cardium Hydraulic fracs recorded through Microseismic images. The answer to the question of "Why are the results so variable?" is still being answered the Cardium Team at Petrobakken. The next steps to improving "Frac Efficiencies" in this project is to more thoroughly integrate the geology, reservoir engineering and frac monitoring into the analysis.

GeoConvention 2012: Vision 4

# **Acknowledgements**

For their technical assistance: Matt Franks, Don Keith, Parker Moores, Rainer Czypionka, Barry Hassen, Brian Webb, Brian Hawkins and Chris Mainwaring of PetroBakken Energy and to PetroBakken Energy Ltd for permission to show this data.