

Oscillatory zoned liddicoatite from Anjanabonoina, Central Madagascar: characterization of trace element variation by LA-ICP-MS

Aaron J. Lussier
Canadian Museum of Nature – Mineral Sciences Division

Frank C. Hawthorne
University of Manitoba – Department of Geological Sciences

Summary

Liddicoatite, ideally $Ca(Li_2AI)AI_6(BO_3)_3(Si_6O_{18})(OH)_3F$, originating from the Anjanabonoina Pegmatites of Central Madagascar, are well-known for their distinct, beautiful patterns of oscillatory colour-zoning. A slab of a liddicoatite crystal, perpendicular to [001] was thoroughly investigated in Lussier *et al.* (2011) and Lussier & Hawthorne (2011). In this crystal, from core-to-edge, four regions of distinct colour occur: purple, light green, dark green and greenish-black. Smaller-scale zones occur within these regions, each marked by a sharp, colour discontinuity, weakening in intensity toward the beginning of the next zone.

The previous, extensive, multi-analytic, characterization of major element composition and crystal chemistry showed that the origin of individual zones was likely due to the operation of element-selective feedback systems between the crystal growth face and the proximal nascent fluid, as opposed to periodic changes in melt composition. A key piece of evidence leading to this conclusion being the disparate shape of continuous major-element profiles along growth faces (pyramidal, {210} and prismatic, {110}) that crystallized simultaneously.

The over-arching goal of our investigations of Madagascar liddicoatite is the development of a general model for multi-dimensional oscillatory growth in tourmaline and other minerals. Accomplishing this requires a more extensive understanding of the mechanisms governing element-selectivity as a function of the crystallographic parameters of the advancing face. Non-essential (*i.e.*, trace) elements can be sensitive indicators of such processes. A comprehensive suite of continuous trace-element profiles, collected by LA-ICP-MS on the previously investigated crystal, will be presented and discussed. By comparing trace- to major-element profiles, details pertaining to the growth process may be inferred, such as site-specific incorporation preferences. Of the 47 elements analyzed, 32 yielded detectable abundances with distinct profiles of concentration variation. For nearly all trace elements, profiles differ significantly between pyramidal {201} and prismatic {110} zones, consistent with the previous studies. Five distinct zoning profiles are recognized and interpreted, these are: (1) *zoned*, mirroring major elements (Mg²+, Ti⁴+, V³+, Zn²+, Nb⁵+, Sn⁴+, Ta⁵+, Pb²+, Bi³+, Th⁴+); (2) *flat*, no zoning evident (Cu²+, Ga³, W⁴+); (3) *LREE*, monotonic decrease and inverted zoning patterns (La³+, Ce³+, Pr³+, Nd³+); (4) *HREE*,

GeoConvention 2020 1



very low concentrations and insensitivity to zoning (Sm $^{3+}$, Eu $^{2+}$, Gd $^{3+}$, Tb $^{3+}$, Dy $^{3+}$, Ho $^{3+}$, Er $^{3+}$, Tm $^{3+}$, Yb $^{3+}$, Lu $^{3+}$); and (5) measurable in *{110}* sector only (Sc $^{3+}$, Co $^{2+}$, Sr $^{2+}$, Y $^{3+}$, Sb $^{3+}$). References: Lussier et al. (2011), Can. Min. 49: 63-88; Lussier & Hawthorne (2011), Can. Min. 49: 89-104.

GeoConvention 2020 2