

Fundamentals of Porosity System Analysis in Tight Rocks

Graham Spray, M.Sc., P.Geo.

AGAT Laboratories

Abstract

Porosity in tight rock formations is a key petrophysical property and critical for understanding fluid storage and flow characteristics. Shale porosity is typically divided into microporosity (diameter <2.0nm), mesoporosity (2-50nm), and macroporosity (>50nm). The relationships between porosity and permeability are controlled by rock texture and the degree to which the porosity system is interconnected or isolated (effective vs. ineffective). Porosity in tight rocks such as shales is typically characterized in the laboratory using helium pycnometry (for total effective porosity), and mercury injection porosimetry (MICP), and/or gas adsorption (BET theory) for pore throat size distribution. These methods are effective but cannot provide information on the associations between porosity and the textural and mineralogical properties of a rock.

In shales, porosity is commonly associated with organic macerals, fractures, and the rock matrix (intercrystalline pores between tectosilicates, and between matrix clays), and occasionally intracrystalline pores between clay sheets. Pore spaces can also occur in particular geologic contexts such as between the sub-crystallites of pyrite framboids. The mineral and rock texture associations of porosity in shale are an important part of reservoir characterization, and petrographic imaging is the best way to investigate these associations. Due to the nanometer to micrometer size range of shale pores, standard optical petrography is insufficiently powerful to image these pores. Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) petrography can provide useful textural information and overviews, but standard SEM systems using thermionic tungsten filament electron emitters still cannot achieve the magnification necessary to image and characterize shale microporosity. While Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) has been used to investigate shale porosity, and excels for true microporosity (<2.0nm), TEM can be complex to operate and interpret effectively, and cannot provide broad geologic context due to extremely limited sample size. It also has demanding sample preparation requirements and minimum zoom levels are still very high, meaning that it is difficult to get broader context from TEM analysis.

Field Emission (FE-) or Field Emission Gun (FEG-) SEM systems make use of field emission electron guns that provide significantly more coherent, stable, and more finely focused electron beams than thermionic tungsten filament emitters. This, along with stronger vacuum systems, allows significantly higher magnification capability; FE-SEM systems can achieve subnanometer resolution under optimum conditions, two orders of magnitude finer than thermionic SEM systems. This resolving power allows investigation and characterization of shale macro- meso- and some micro-porosity associations, and incorporation of this understanding into reservoir quality studies. Building an understanding of porosity associations, alongside other petrophysical data, allows a more complete geologic interpretation of the nature of the porosity architecture and the effective and ineffective porosity of the rock system.

This talk aims to review the current state of the art for tight rock porosity system analysis, including discussion of total porosity, permeability, MICP, and recent advances in BET Theory, but with particular focus on advanced SEM investigations of pore systems.

Acknowledgements

Thanks to colleagues within AGAT for feedback and deep contributions, and to the University of Alberta NanoFAB laboratory team for their enthusiastic and expert assistance and support.

References

- Han, Jinxuan, Hongjian Zhu, Yanjun Lu, Su Yang, Manping Yang, Erxiu Shi, and Yu Qi. 2022. "Microstructural Analysis of Organic-Rich Shales: Insights from an Electron Microscopic Study by Application of FIBSEM and TEM" *Nanomaterials* 12, no. 23: 4135. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nano12234135>
- Liang, Feng, Qin Zhang, Bin Lu, Peng Chen, Chi Su, Yu Zhang, and Yu Liu. 2022. "Pore Structure in Shale Tested by Low Pressure N₂ Adsorption Experiments: Mechanism, Geological Control and Application" *Energies* 15, no. 13: 4875. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en15134875>
- Neimark, A.V.; Ravikovitch, P.I.; Vishnyakov, A. Adsorption hysteresis in nanopores. *Phys. Rev. E* 2000, 62 Pt 2A, R1493–R1496.
- Bernard, S.; Wirth, R.; Schreiber, A.; Schulz, H.; Horsfield, B. Formation of nanoporous pyrobitumen residues during maturation of the Barnett Shale (Fort Worth Basin). *Int. J. Coal Geol.* 2012, 103, 3–11.
- Knapp, Levi J., Omid H. Ardakani, Shinnosuke Uchida, Takashi Nanjo, Chiaki Otomo, and Tatsuya Hattori. "The influence of rigid matrix minerals on organic porosity and pore size in shale reservoirs: Upper Devonian Duvernay Formation, Alberta, Canada." *International Journal of Coal Geology* 227 (2020): 103525.
- Lixun Bai, Longfei Duan, Zhiye Gao, Guoming Ma, Adou Yang, Zhaochen Liu, and Lidan Jia. 2023. Controlling Effect of Shale Pore Connectivity on the Adsorption State of Shale Gas: A Case Study of Continental Shale of Jurassic Ziliujing Formation, Sichuan Basin. *Energy & Fuels*, 37 (19), 14891-14905 DOI: 10.1021/acs.energyfuels.3c02721
- Dragan, Grygorii, Volodymyr Kutarov, Eva Schieferstein, and Alexander Iorgov. 2021. "Adsorption Hysteresis in Open Slit-like Micropores" *Molecules* 26, no. 16: 5074. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26165074>
- Neimark, Alexander & Ravikovitch, Peter & Vishnyakov, Aleksey. (2000). Adsorption hysteresis in nanopores. *Physical review. E, Statistical physics, plasmas, fluids, and related interdisciplinary topics*. 62. R1493-6. 10.1103/PhysRevE.62.R1493.
- Huang, C., Ju, Y., Zhu, H., Lash, G. G., Qi, Y., Yu, K., ... Qiao, P. (2020). Investigation of formation and evolution of organic matter pores in marine shale by helium ion microscope: An example from the lower silurian Longmaxi Shale, South China. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, 104550. doi:10.1016
- Andrew, M. 2018. Comparing organic-hosted and intergranular pore networks: topography and topology in grains, gaps and bubbles. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications, Volume 484, Pages 241-253.* <https://doi.org/10.1144/SP484.4>