



## CO<sub>2</sub> storage in depleted gas reservoirs in northeast Alberta: storage site selection and ranking

Zhuoheng Chen<sup>1</sup>, Wanju Yuan<sup>1\*</sup>, Xiaolong Peng<sup>1</sup>, Di Lu<sup>1</sup>, Carl Ozyer<sup>1</sup> and Hyojong Lee<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Natural Resources Canada, Geological Survey of Canada, Calgary

<sup>2</sup>Korea Geoscience and Mineral Resources, South Korea

\*Corresponding author and presenter

### Summary

Oilsands operations emitted approximately 81 Mt of carbon dioxide in 2022 and are the major GHG emitters in the country (ECCC, 2024). CCS is a promising, but costly mitigation strategy. It requires huge CAPEX for infrastructure, long construction time, and a coordinated effort from industry, governments, and society. In addition, CCS projects generate CO<sub>2</sub> as well. The longer the pipeline, the deeper the storage aquifer and the higher the injection pressure, the more secondary CO<sub>2</sub> will be generated. There are thousands under-pressured gas reservoirs close to the major industry GHG emission sources in oilsands area, which are hydraulically isolated from regional aquifers by their physical traps, low risk in leaking in steady state. The inefficiency of storage capacity due to storage in gas phase can be compensated by higher porosity with well-characterized reservoir and additional storages from compressing gases in residual and sub-economic gas intervals if operating at regional hydrostatic or safe operation pressures, in addition to low-cost in drilling, injection and transportation (Chen 2025). These depleted gas reservoirs in oilsands area can be both a short-term alternative and long-term complement for carbon removal.

This study develops criteria for selecting suitable CO<sub>2</sub> storage sites from the depleted gas pools in northeast Alberta and ranks the selected pools on the basis that optimizes storage and injection performance and minimizes containment and economic risks and environmental impacts. A three-step workflow was proposed, which includes an initial assessment of storage, prospectivity screening of suitability and multi-criteria ranking. The suitable pools were re-organized into clusters by their spatial affinity of occurrences. Each cluster consists of multiple discrete, hydraulically isolated and vertically overlapping reservoirs and used as a basic unit for ranking. Several ranking algorithms were tested, the TOPSIS (Technique for order of preference by similarity to ideal solution) seems to perform the best. Applied to the depleted gas pools in northeast Albert, the potential candidates are reduced from 4694 down to 341 pools that are aggregated into geographic clusters in 6 fields. All 6 clusters are in 100 km



range from nearest emitter (source), and the shortest Source to Sink distance is only 9 kms. Among the storage clusters, the smallest cluster has the storage potential of 38 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>, and the largest over 200 Mt CO<sub>2</sub> with a total capacity up to 768 Mt storage.

## Acknowledgments

This is an output from the GeoEnergy Program of Geological Survey of Canada, and a component under the collaboration agreement with KIGAM.

## References

Chen, Z., 2025. Challenges and opportunities of CO<sub>2</sub> storage in depleted shallow gas reservoirs in Alberta Oilsands area, Western Canada Sedimentary Basin, Canada. *Fuel* 381 (2025) 133384. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2024.133384>

Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC), 2024. Canadian Environmental Sustainability Indicators: Greenhouse gas emissions. Consulted on January 24, 2025. Available at: [www.canada.ca/en/environment-climate-change/services/environmental-indicators/greenhouse-gas-emissions.html](http://www.canada.ca/en/environment-climate-change/services/environmental-indicators/greenhouse-gas-emissions.html).